UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,322	10/24/2006	Mesut Muyan	21108.0032U2	9900
	7590 08/03/2007 DSENBERG, P.C.		EXAMINER	
SUITE 1000			BURKHART, MICHAEL D	
999 PEACHTR ATLANTA, G			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
			1633	
• ,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/574,322	MUYAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael D. Burkhart	1633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. By the communication of the communication of the communication is presented by the communication of the	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ <sup>-</sup>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	drawn from consideration.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e ⊨xaminer. Note the attached	Oπice Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bute.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a second content.</li> </ul>	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	A) 🗖 Intonion O	mmany (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application .·				

Application/Control Number: 10/574,322

Art Unit: 1633

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Note: claims 90 and 94 are dependent from a canceled claim (claim 87), hence, they have been restricted based upon dependence from claim 1.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 7-9, 11-13, 15-23, 30, 86, 88, 90, 92 and 94, drawn to a composition comprising first and second DNA binding domains separated by a hinge domain.

Group II, claim(s) 3 (in part) and 50, 51, 55, 58 and 59, drawn to a composition comprising a first and second DNA binding domains separated by a hinge domain, and further comprising an activation domain.

Group III, claim(s) 4 and 5, drawn to a hinge domain.

Group IV, claim(s) 3 (in part) and 60, 61, 64, 67 and 68, drawn to a composition comprising a first and second DNA binding domains separated by a hinge domain, and further comprising a repressor domain.

Group V, claim(s) 69-71 and 73, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding the composition of Group I, vectors, and cells comprising the nucleic acid.

Group VI, claim(s) 72 and 74, drawn to animals comprising the cells of Group V.

Group VII, claim(s) 75, drawn to a method of identifying a gene under control of a hormone response element by contacting a cell with the composition of Group I.

Group VIII, claim(s) 76, drawn to a method of identifying a gene under control of a hormone response element by contacting a cell with the composition of Group II.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,322

Art Unit: 1633

Group IX, claim(s) 77, drawn to a method of identifying a gene under control of a hormone response element by contacting a cell with the composition of Group IV.

Group X, claim(s) 78 and 84, drawn to a method of treating cancer by administration of the composition of Group I.

Group XI, claim(s) 79, drawn to a method of treating cancer by administration of the composition of Group IV.

Group XII, claim(s) 80-82, drawn to methods of inhibiting the transcription of a gene by contacting a cell with the composition of Group IV.

Group XIII, claim(s) 83, drawn to a method of overexpressing a gene in a cell by contacting the gene with the composition of Group II.

Group XIV, claim(s) 85, drawn to a method of treating cancer by administration of the composition of Group II.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XIV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature linking Groups I, II, and IV-XIV is a composition comprising first and second DNA binding domains separated by a hinge domain, said composition also binding a DNA binding site. However, Muyan et al (Mol. Cell. Endocrinology, 2001) disclose in Fig. 1A an endrogen receptor homodimer fusion protein that comprises two C domains (DNA binding domains) separated by a D domain (a hinge domain by applicants definition, see page 37 and Fig. 1A of the instant disclosure). The ER homodimer fusion protein of Muyan et al bound the ERE DNA sequence, see Fig. 1D.

Therefore, the technical feature(s) linking the inventions of Groups I, II, and IV-XIV does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

The special technical feature of Group III is considered to be a composition consisting of a hinge domain. This special technical feature is not found within or used by the other Groups.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,322

Art Unit: 1633

ŝ

Furthermore, if Group I is elected, the Group is subject to further restriction as follows. The SEQ ID NOs listed in Group I are subject to an additional restriction since they do not share a special technical feature. Claims 16-19 and 30 recite the DNA binding sites of SEQ ID NOs 1-22. Claims 86, 88 and 90 recite the DNA binding domains of SEQ ID NOs 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, or 39. Claims 92 and 94 recite the hinge domains of SEQ ID NOs 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, or 40. None of the sequences share a common structure (sequence) or function. Although these sequences are disclosed as being derived from hormone receptors and their respective DNA response elements, they are considered to be unrelated, since each sequence is structurally and functionally independent and distinct for the following reasons: each has a unique amino acid or nucleotide sequence (i.e. structure), each recognizes a distinct ligand (e.g. estrogen, progesterone) or is linked to the expression of distinct genes, and therefore each has a distinct function. As such, the above sequences each have a special technical feature not found in the other sequences. Furthermore, a reading of the specification reveals that each sequence is known in the prior art, and thus the sequences do not define a contribution over the prior art. In view of the foregoing, one sequence from each category is considered to be a reasonable number of sequences for examination. Accordingly, applicants are required to elect one DNA binding domain sequence from claims 16-19, 30, 86, 88 and 90, and one hinge domain sequence from claims 92 and 94, if Group I is elected. Note this is not a species election.

Accordingly, Groups I-XIV are not so linked by the same concept or a corresponding technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 1633

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Art Unit: 1633

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D. Burkhart whose telephone number is (571) 272-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael D. Burkhart Examiner

Art Unit 1633

M Bulla